arXiv:1610.05367v1 [math.PR] 17 Oct 2016

The random matrix hard edge: rare events and a transition

Diane Holcomb*

October 19, 2016

Abstract

We study probabilities of various rare events for the limiting point process that appears at the random matrix hard edge. We also show a transition from hard edge to bulk behavior. Asymptotic events studied include a central limit theorem and large deviation result for the number of points in a growing interval $[0, \lambda]$ as $\lambda \to \infty$. We study these results for the square root of the hard edge process. In this setting many of these behaviors mimic those of the Sine_β process.

1 Introduction

In the study of classical Hermitian random matrix ensembles three distinct types of local behavior have been observed. The Gaussian Unitary Ensemble (GUE) exhibits one type of behavior in the interior, or bulk, of its spectrum and another at its edge. Scaling the $n \times n$ model and passing to the $n \to \infty$ limit one obtains the Sine_2 and Airy_2 processes respectively. The Laguerre (also called Wishart) and Jacobi (MANOVA) ensembles exhibit the same behavior in the bulk, but depending on the choice of parameters may exhibit two different types of behavior at the edge. In one case the limit process at the edge is again the Airy_2 process. This is referred to as a soft edge. In the other case the limit process at the edge is a family of determinantal point processes where the determinant is defined in terms of Bessel functions J_α,

$$K_\alpha(x,y) = \frac{J_\alpha(\sqrt{x})\sqrt{y}J'_\alpha(\sqrt{y}) - \sqrt{x}J'_\alpha(\sqrt{x})J_\alpha(\sqrt{y})}{2(x-y)}.$$

*Department of Mathematics, University of Arizona, dianeholcomb@math.arizona.edu

This type of limiting behavior will occur when the eigenvalues of the random matrix are pushed against some hard constraint, and so will be referred to as hard-edge behavior.

The Laguerre and Jacobi ensembles may be generalized to one parameter families of point processes called β-ensembles defined through their joint distribution. In particular the β-Laguerre ensemble has joint density

$$p_{n,m,\beta}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n) = \frac{1}{Z_{\beta,n,m}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i^{\frac{\beta}{2}(m-n+1)-1} e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}\lambda_i} \prod_{j<k} |\lambda_j - \lambda_k|^\beta. \tag{1}$$

Here β may be any value greater than 0, $m \geq n$, and $Z_{\beta,n,m}$ is an explicitly computable normalizing constant. With a slight abuse of terminology we refer to the points of the β-Laguerre ensemble as its eigenvalues. The local limits of these β-ensembles may again be studied, but will no longer be described by a determinantal point process.

As in the classical case, the β-Laguerre ensemble can exhibit two different types of limiting behavior at the lower edge of the spectrum. For $m/n \to \gamma \neq 1$ the lower edge of the spectrum exhibits soft-edge behavior. In this case the appropriately rescaled lower edge of the β-Laguerre ensemble converges to the Airy_β process [17]. In the case where instead $m = n + a_n$ and $a_n \to a$ the lower edge of the spectrum exhibits hard-edge behavior [15]. In the intermediate regime where $a_n \to \infty, a_n/n \to 0$ it is expected that the behavior is soft edge and so the limiting process will be Airy_β. For this regime there is a partial result in the case $\beta = 2$ for $a_n \sim c\sqrt{n}$ by Deift, Menon, and Trogdon (see [4]), but otherwise the problem remains open. Similar soft and hard edge scaling results were shown for the β-Jacobi ensemble [7]. Later universality results extended the soft edge limit to a wide class of β-ensembles [3, 12], and recent work by Rider and Waters did the same for the hard edge [19].

Let $\lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < ...$ be the ordered eigenvalues of the β-Laguerre ensemble. For the hard edge regime when $a_n \to a$ the set $\{n\lambda_0, n\lambda_1, ..., n\lambda_k\}$ converges to the first k eigenvalues of the Stochastic Bessel operator introduced by Ramírez and Rider in [15]. The operator acts on functions $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ and is given by:

$$\mathfrak{G}_{\beta,a} = -\exp\left[(a+1)x + \frac{2}{\sqrt{\beta}}b(x)\right] \cdot \frac{d}{dx}\left(\exp\left[-ax - \frac{2}{\sqrt{\beta}}b(x)\right]\frac{d}{dx}\right),$$

with Dirichlet boundary conditions at 0 and Neumann conditions at infinity, where $b(x)$ is a Brownian motion, $a > -1$ and $\beta > 0$. Moreover, it can be shown that the spectrum defines a simple point process which will be referred to as the 'hard edge process'. For further discussion of the Stochastic Bessel operator see Ramírez and Rider [15].

The square root of the hard edge process gives a point process description for the singular values of $\mathfrak{G}_{\beta,a}$. This scale is natural one for studying the transition from the edge to the bulk, and moreover, in this setting the asymptotic likelihood of rare events mimic those of the Sine_β process. We will denote the singular value process by $\text{Bess}_{a,\beta}$ in honor of the Bessel function present in the determinantal description. The results for the $\text{Bess}_{a,\beta}$ process will be stated in terms of its counting function $M_{a,\beta}(\lambda)$ which we define to be the number of points of the $\text{Bess}_{a,\beta}$ process in the interval $[0, \lambda]$.

For a bit of amplification on the choice of the singular value process consider the following: we may perform the change of variables $y = \sqrt{x}$ in the Marchenko-Pastur distribution, the resulting distribution shows that the mean spacing after the change is the same order for both the edge and the bulk. This is confirmed by the work Edelman and LaCroix [5]. They show that the singular values of a GUE are distributed as the union of the singular values of two independent Laguerre ensembles with hard edge type distribution. A similar decomposition may also be done for the GOE [2].

In the bulk of the spectrum, with the appropriate centering and rescaling, Jacquot and Valkó showed that the eigenvalues of the β-Laguerre ensemble converge to the Sine_β process [10]. This process was first introduced introduced as the limit of the β-Hermite ensemble by Valkó and Virág [20]. In this paper we make use of tools developed for the Sine_β process to study the $\text{Bess}_{a,\beta}$ process and show a transition from $\text{Bess}_{a,\beta}$ to Sine_β. The Sine_β process may be described via its counting function in the following way: let α_λ be the diffusion that satisfies

$$d\alpha_\lambda = \lambda \frac{\beta}{4} e^{-\frac{\beta}{4}t} dt + \text{Re}\left[(e^{-i\alpha_\lambda} - 1 dZ \right], \tag{2}$$

where $Z_t = X_t + iY_t$ with X and Y standard Brownian motions and $\alpha_\lambda(0) = 0$. Define $N_\beta(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \lim_{t\to\infty} \alpha_\lambda(t)$, then $N_\beta(\lambda)$ is the counting function for Sine_β.

In this paper we show two results on the asymptotic probability of various rare events for $\text{Bess}_{a,\beta}$. The first is a central limit theorem for the number of points in the interval $[0, \lambda]$ as $\lambda \to \infty$. The second is a large deviation result on the asymptotic density of points in a large interval $[0, \lambda]$. We expect to see roughly $2\lambda/\pi$ many point in a large interval. We consider the asymptotic probability of seeing roughly $\rho\lambda$ many points for $\rho \neq 2/\pi$. The final result in this paper shows a transition from $\text{Bess}_{a,\beta}$ to Sine_β as we move out towards ∞ in the $\text{Bess}_{a,\beta}$ process for $a > 0$.

1.1 Results

We begin with the two results on the asymptotic behavior of $M_{a,\beta}(\lambda)$ as $\lambda \to \infty$. The first of these gives a central limit theorem for the number of points in the interval.

Theorem 1. *Fix $\beta > 0, a > -1$. As $\lambda \to \infty$ we have that*

$$\frac{1}{\log \lambda}\left(M_{a,\beta}(\lambda) - \frac{2\lambda}{\pi}\right) \Rightarrow \mathcal{N}\Big(0, \frac{1}{\beta\pi^2}\Big).$$

An identical result except with limiting variance $\frac{2}{\beta\pi^2}$ was shown for the counting function of the Sine_β process by Kritchevski, Valkó, and Virág [13].

The next result describes the large deviation behavior of the counting function. Before stating the result we introduce certain special functions that are used in the statement. We will use

$$K(m) = \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1 - m\sin^2 x}}, \quad \text{and} \quad E(m) = \int_0^{\pi/2} \sqrt{1 - m\sin^2 x}\, dx, \tag{3}$$

for the complete elliptic integrals of the first and second kind, respectively. Note that there are several conventions denoting these functions, we use the modulus notation from [1]. We also introduce the following function for $m < 1$:

$$\mathcal{H}(m) = (1-m)K(m) - E(m). \tag{4}$$

Theorem 2. *Fix $\beta > 0$, $a > -1$. The sequence of random variables $\frac{1}{\lambda}M_{a,\beta}(\lambda)$ satisfies a large deviation principle with scale λ^2 and good rate function $\beta I_{\mathrm{Bess}_{a,\beta}}(\rho)$ with*

$$I_{\mathrm{Bess}_{a,\beta}}(\rho) = \frac{\nu}{2} + \rho\mathcal{H}(\nu), \qquad \nu = \gamma^{(-1)}(\rho/4), \tag{5}$$

where $\gamma^{(-1)}$ denotes the inverse of the continuous, strictly decreasing function given by

$$\gamma(\nu) = \begin{cases} \frac{\mathcal{H}(\nu)}{8}\int_{-\infty}^{\nu} \mathcal{H}^{-2}(x)dx, & \text{if } \nu < 0, \\ \frac{1}{2\pi}, & \text{if } \nu = 0, \\ \frac{\mathcal{H}(\nu)}{8}\int_{1}^{\nu} \mathcal{H}^{-2}(x)dx, & \text{if } 0 < \nu < 1, \\ 0, & \text{if } \nu = 1. \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

Roughly speaking, this means that the probability of seeing close to $\rho\lambda$ points in $[0, \lambda]$ for a large λ is asymptotically $e^{-\lambda^2 \beta I_{\text{Bess}_{a,\beta}}(\rho)}$.

This result is closely related to the analogous result for the counting function $N_\beta(\lambda)$ of the Sine_β process. There we consider the sequence $\frac{1}{\lambda}N_\beta(\lambda)$ and we find an LDP with rate λ^2 and rate function βI_{Sine} where $I_{\text{Bess}_{a,\beta}}(\rho) = 32 I_{\text{Sine}}(\rho/4)$[8]. Moreover we can check that the central limit theorem and the large deviation result are at least formally consistent. Lastly, observe that the large deviation result is also consistent with the tail behavior of the lowest eigenvalue $P(M_{a,\beta}(\sqrt{\lambda}) = 0) \sim e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}\lambda}$ [18].

Remark 3. There is a remarkable consistency between the results for $\text{Bess}_{a,\beta}$ and Sine_β, however they clearly capture different behavior. The a parameter present in the $\text{Bess}_{a,\beta}$ process is not felt at the first order asymptotic expansions, but does appear at lower order terms in the large gaps result [18].

The final result shows a transition between the hard edge process and Sine_β.

Theorem 4. *Let $a > 0$ and $\beta > 0$ fixed, then*

$$\frac{1}{4}(\text{Bess}_{a,\beta} - \lambda) \Rightarrow \text{Sine}_\beta \tag{7}$$

as $\lambda \to \infty$.

All of the results in this paper rest heavily on the fact that the counting function $M_{a,\beta}(\lambda)$ of $\text{Bess}_{a,\beta}$ may be described in a way that is similar the counting function $N_\beta(\lambda)$. It is worth noting that from this characterization it seems likely that one could show other results for $\text{Bess}_{a,\beta}$ related to existing results on the Sine_β process. In particular we anticipate it would not be difficult to determine the asymptotic probability of overcrowding ($P(M_{a,\beta}(\lambda) \geq n) \sim ?$ as $n \to \infty$, see [9]).

The remainder of the paper will be organized as follows: Section 2 will give the description of the counting function $M_{a,\beta}(\lambda)$ that will be used in the proofs. Section 3 will give the proof of the central limit theorem. Section 4 will give the proof of the large deviation result. Section 5 will give the proof of the transition from $\text{Bess}_{a,\beta}$ to Sine_β.

Acknowledgements: The author would like to thank her advisor Benedek Valkó for helpful comments and corrections.

2 Another characterization of $\mathrm{Bess}_{a,\beta}$

Theorem 5. *Let $M_{a,\beta}(\lambda)$ be the number of points of $\mathrm{Bess}_{a,\beta}$ in the interval $[0,\lambda]$, and let $\varphi_{a,\lambda}$ be the diffusion that satisfies the stochastic differential equation*

$$d\varphi_{a,\lambda} = \frac{\beta}{2}(a+\tfrac{1}{2})\sin\left(\frac{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}{2}\right)dt + \beta\lambda e^{-\beta t/8}dt + \frac{\sin\varphi_{a,\lambda}}{2}dt + 2\sin\left(\tfrac{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}{2}\right)dB_t \tag{8}$$

with initial condition $\varphi_{a,\lambda}(0) = 2\pi$. Then

$$M_{a,\beta}(\lambda) =^d \lim_{t\to\infty}\left\lfloor \frac{1}{4\pi}\varphi_{a,\lambda}(t)\right\rfloor.$$

Moreover, for $a > 0$ we have that $\lim_{t\to\infty}\lfloor(\varphi_{a,\lambda}(t)-2\pi)/4\pi\rfloor = \lim_{t\to\infty}\lfloor\varphi_{a,\lambda}(t)/4\pi\rfloor$ almost surely.

Before giving the proof we recall an existing description of $\mathrm{Bess}_{a,\beta}$ that characterizes the process via diffusions rather then an operator. We consider the 'Riccati diffusion' for $\mathfrak{G}_{\beta,a}$, given by the stochastic differential equation

$$dp_\lambda(t) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\beta}}p_\lambda(t)dB(t) + \left(\left(a+\tfrac{2}{\beta}\right)p_\lambda(t) - p_\lambda^2(t) - \lambda e^{-t}\right)dt, \tag{9}$$

with initial condition $p(0) = +\infty$, which it leaves instantaneously. Note that there is a positive probability of explosion to $-\infty$.

Theorem 6 ([15])**.** *Let $\Lambda_0(\beta,a) < \Lambda_1(\beta,a) < \ldots$ be the ordered eigenvalues of $\mathfrak{G}_{\beta,a}$, and let $P_{\infty,t}$ denote the law induced by $p(\cdot : \beta,a,\lambda)$ started at $+\infty$ at time t, and restarted at $+\infty$ and time $\mathfrak{m}$ upon any $\mathfrak{m} < \infty, p(\mathfrak{m}) = -\infty$. Then,*

$$P(\Lambda_0(\beta,a) > \lambda) = P_{\infty,0}(p \text{ never hits } 0), \tag{10}$$

$$P(\Lambda_k(\beta,a) < \lambda) = P_{\infty,0}(p \text{ hits } 0 \text{ at least } k+1 \text{ times}). \tag{11}$$

In other words the counting function of the process is the number of times that $p_\lambda(t)$ hits 0, and may be denoted by $M_{a,\beta}(\sqrt{\lambda})$, where $M_{a,\beta}$ is the counting function of $\mathrm{Bess}_{a,\beta}$.

Proof of Theorem 5. The characterization of the hard edge process given in Theorem 6 can be rewritten in the following way: For $p_\lambda > 0$ apply the change of variables $-X_1(t) := \log(p_\lambda(\beta t/4)) + \beta t/8 - \log\lambda/2$ for $p_\lambda > 0$. Then X_1 satisfies the SDE

$$dX_1(t) = \left(\frac{\beta}{4}(-a-\tfrac{1}{2}) + \frac{\beta}{2}\sqrt{\lambda}e^{-\beta t/8}\cosh X(t)\right)dt - dB(t). \tag{12}$$

The initial condition $p(0) = +\infty$ gives $X_1(0) = -\infty$, moreover when $p_\lambda(\beta t/4)$ reaches 0 we get that $X_1(t) = +\infty$.

We do a similar change of variables with $p_\lambda < 0$. Take $X_2(t) = \log(-p_\lambda(\beta t/4)) + \beta t/8 - \log \lambda/2$. This gives us

$$dX_2(t) = \left(\frac{\beta}{4}(a + \tfrac{1}{2}) + \frac{\beta}{2}\sqrt{\lambda}e^{-\beta t/8}\cosh X_2(t)\right)dt + dB(t). \tag{13}$$

When $p_\lambda(\beta t/4) = 0$ this gives $X_2(t) = -\infty$, and when $p_\lambda(\beta t/4) = -\infty$ this gives $X_2(t) = +\infty$.

To find the $\varphi_{a,\lambda}$ diffusion given in Theorem 5 we work back from X_1 and X_2 to $\varphi_{a,\sqrt{\lambda}}$. Notice that the zeros of p_λ describe the eigenvalue process of $\mathfrak{G}_{\beta,a}$ and so the resulting diffusion have parameter $\sqrt{\lambda}$. Suppressing the subscripts $a, \sqrt{\lambda}$, let $X_1 = -\log\tan(-\varphi/4)$, then $\varphi = -4\arctan e^{-X_1}$ and we get

$$\begin{aligned} d\varphi &= 2\ \text{sech}\ X_1 dX_1 - \ \text{sech}\ X_1 \tanh X_1 dt \\ &= \frac{\beta}{2}(a + \tfrac{1}{2})\sin\left(\frac{\varphi}{2}\right)dt + \beta\sqrt{\lambda}e^{-\beta t/8}dt + \frac{\sin\varphi}{2}dt + 2\sin\left(\frac{\varphi}{2}\right)dB_t. \end{aligned}$$

The conditions $X_1 = -\infty$ and $X_1 = +\infty$ correspond to $\varphi = -2\pi$ and $\varphi = 0$ respectively. For X_2 take $\varphi = 4\arctan e^{X_2}$. This gives

$$d\varphi = \frac{\beta}{2}(a + \tfrac{1}{2})\sin\left(\frac{\varphi}{2}\right)dt + \beta\sqrt{\lambda}e^{-\beta t/8}dt + \frac{\sin\varphi}{2}dt + 2\sin\left(\frac{\varphi}{2}\right)dB_t.$$

The conditions $X_2 = -\infty$ and $X_2 = +\infty$ correspond to $\varphi = 0$ and 2π respectively.

Now notice that this diffusion is invariant under 4π spacial shifts, so for a fixed λ with initial condition $\varphi_{a,\sqrt{\lambda}}(0) = 2\pi$ we have

$$P(\sup_t \varphi_{a,\sqrt{\lambda}}(t) \geq 4\pi k) = P(\Lambda_k < \lambda).$$

Lastly we use that $\lfloor \varphi_{a,\sqrt{\lambda}} \rfloor_{4\pi}$ is monotone increasing in t to rewrite the supremum as a limit.

For the final statement when $a > 0$ we appeal to the erratum for the original convergence result on the hard edge, [16]. Observe that in this case counting 0 of the p_λ diffusion is equivalent to counting explosions to $-\infty$. Therefore any time $\varphi_{a,\lambda}$ passes a multiple of 4π is must pass the next 2π multiple as well. □

3 The central limit theorem

The proof of the central limit theorem uses techniques similar to the proof for the Sine_β process which was done by Kritchevski, Valkó, and Virág in [13].

Proof of Theorem 1. First notice that the process $\hat{\varphi}_{a,\lambda}(t) = \varphi_{a,\lambda}(t+T)$ with $T = \frac{8}{\beta}\log(\lambda)$ satisfies the same SDE (8) with $\lambda = 1$. This gives us that

$$\frac{\varphi_{a,\lambda}(\infty) - \varphi_{a,\lambda}(T)}{\log\lambda} \to 0$$

in probability. From this we have that it is sufficient to consider the weak limit of

$$\frac{\varphi_{a,\lambda}(T) - 8\lambda}{4\pi\log\lambda}.$$

Written in its integrated form (and dropping the a, λ subscripts), the SDE for $\varphi_{a,\lambda}$ gives us

$$\varphi(T) - 8\lambda + 8 - 2\pi = \frac{\beta}{2}(a+1/2)\int_0^T \sin\left(\frac{\varphi}{2}\right)dt + \int_0^T \frac{\sin(\varphi)}{2}dt + 2\int_0^T \sin\left(\tfrac{\varphi}{2}\right)dB_t. \quad (14)$$

We will show that when scaled down by $\log\lambda$ the first two terms vanish in the limit, then show that the martingale term has the appropriate variance. Applying Itô's equation to $e^{-i\varphi+\beta t/8}$ we get that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d\left(e^{i\varphi+\beta t/8}\right)}{i\lambda\log\lambda} &= \tfrac{1}{\lambda\log\lambda}e^{i\varphi+\beta t/8}d\varphi + \tfrac{\beta}{8i\lambda\log\lambda}e^{i\varphi+\beta t/8}dt - \tfrac{2}{i\lambda\log\lambda}e^{i\varphi+\beta t/8}\sin^2\left(\tfrac{\varphi}{2}\right)dt \\ &= \tfrac{\beta}{\log\lambda}e^{i\varphi}dt + \tfrac{2}{\lambda\log\lambda}\sin\left(\tfrac{\varphi}{2}\right)e^{i\varphi+\beta t/8}dB_t \\ &\quad + \tfrac{1}{\lambda\log\lambda}\left[\frac{\beta}{2}(a+\tfrac{1}{2})\sin\left(\tfrac{\varphi}{2}\right) + \frac{\sin\varphi}{2} - i\frac{\beta}{8} + i2\sin^2\left(\tfrac{\varphi}{2}\right)\right]e^{i\varphi+\beta t/8}dt \quad (15)\end{aligned}$$

We show that the term $\frac{\beta}{\log\lambda}\int_0^T e^{i\varphi}dt$ vanishes in the $\lambda\to\infty$ limit by showing that the other terms in (15) vanish. Integrated, the left hand side gives us $\frac{1}{i\log\lambda}[e^{i\varphi(T)}-1]$ which in norm is bounded by $C/\log\lambda$. The integral of the final line in (15) is of order $\frac{1}{\lambda\log\lambda}\int_0^T e^{i\varphi+\beta t/8}dt$ and so also vanishes as $\lambda\to\infty$. Lastly the integral of the noise term has an L^2 norm bounded by $C/\log\lambda$. This gives us that $(\log\lambda)^{-1}\int_0^T e^{i\varphi}dt \to 0$ in probability as $\lambda\to\infty$. From this we get that the second integral term in (14) will vanish when scaled by $(\log\lambda)^{-1}$. We can do a similar calculation using $e^{i\varphi/2+\beta t/8}$ to get that the first integral term on the right hand side of (14) also makes no contribution.

We now turn our attention to the last remaining term in (14). We rewrite this as

$$2\int_0^T \sin\left(\tfrac{\varphi}{2}\right)dB_t = \hat{B}\left(4\int_0^T \sin^2\left(\tfrac{\varphi}{2}\right)dt\right)$$

for some standard Brownian motion $\hat{B}_t$. Then we have that

$$\frac{4}{(\log\lambda)^2}\int_0^T \sin^2\left(\tfrac{\varphi}{2}\right)dt = \frac{16}{\beta} + \frac{2}{(\log\lambda)^2}\int_0^T \cos\left(\tfrac{\varphi}{2}\right)dt. \quad (16)$$

This final integral term goes to 0 in probability from the previous step where we showed $(\log\lambda)^{-1}\int_0^T e^{i\varphi}dt \to 0$ in probability as $\lambda\to\infty$. This completes the proof.

□

4 Large Deviations

The work in this section will rest heavily on the proof of the large deviation result for the Sine_β process given in [8]. We will first state a path large deviation result for $\varphi_{a,\lambda}$ and go over the differences between the proof of this result and the corresponding result, Theorem 6, in [8]. We complete the section by deriving the result for the counting function from the large deviation result on paths.

Theorem 7. *Fix $\beta > 0$ and let $\varphi_{a,\lambda}(t)$ be the process defined in (8) with $a > -1$. Then the sequence of rescaled processes $(\frac{\varphi_{a,\lambda}(t)}{\lambda}, t \in [0,\infty))$ satisfies a large deviation principle on $C[0,\infty)$ with scale λ^2 and good rate function $\mathcal{J}_{\text{Bess}_{a,\beta}}$. The rate function $\mathcal{J}_{\text{Bess}_{a,\beta}}$ is defined as*

$$\mathcal{J}_{\text{Bess}_{a,\beta}}(g) = \int_0^\infty \mathfrak{h}^2(t)\mathcal{I}\left(g'(t)/\mathfrak{h}(t)\right) dt, \quad \text{with} \quad \mathfrak{h}(t) = \mathfrak{h}_\beta(t) = \beta e^{-\frac{\beta}{8}t}$$

in the case where $g(0) = 0$ and g is absolutely continuous with non-negative derivative g'. In all other cases $\mathcal{J}_{\text{Bess}_{a,\beta}}(g)$ is defined as ∞.

Note that in the limit after rescaling the initial condition $\varphi_{a,\lambda}(0) = 2\pi$ corresponds to $g(0) = 0$.

Proof. The proof of this theorem will closely follow the proof of Theorem 6 from [8]. We give the results needed to work with the diffusion $\varphi_{a,\lambda}$ rather than α_λ.

We first study a constant drift diffusion $\tilde{\varphi}_{a,\lambda}$ that satisfies

$$d\tilde{\varphi}_{a,\lambda} = \frac{\beta}{2}(a+1/2)\sin\left(\tfrac{\tilde{\varphi}_{a,\lambda}}{2}\right)dt + \beta\lambda dt + \frac{\sin\tilde{\varphi}_{a,\lambda}}{2}dt + 2\sin\left(\tfrac{\tilde{\varphi}_{a,\lambda}}{2}\right)dB_t, \tag{17}$$

with initial condition $\tilde{\varphi}_{a,\lambda}(0) = 2\pi$. We likewise define the constant λ diffusions corresponding to X_1 and X_2. Take $\tilde{X}_1$ and $\tilde{X}_2$ to satisfy

$$d\tilde{X}_1 = \left(-\frac{\beta}{4}(a+\tfrac{1}{2}) + \lambda\cosh\tilde{X}_1(t)\right)dt + dB_t \qquad X_1(0) = -\infty \tag{18}$$

$$d\tilde{X}_2 = \left(\frac{\beta}{4}(a+\tfrac{1}{2}) + \lambda\cosh\tilde{X}_2(t)\right)dt + dB_t \qquad X_2(0) = -\infty. \tag{19}$$

Proposition 8. *Let $\tau_1 = \inf\{t : \tilde{\varphi}_{a,\lambda}(t) = 4\pi\}$, and $\tau_2 = \inf\{t : \tilde{\varphi}_{a,\lambda} = 6\pi\} - \tau_1$ and fix $A < 1$, then for $\tau = \tau_1$ or τ_2 we have*

$$Ee^{\frac{\lambda 62A}{8}\tau - \frac{\lambda\tau}{4}(|A|\wedge\sqrt{|A|})(1+\frac{\beta}{2}(a+\frac{1}{2}))} \leq e^{-\lambda\mathcal{H}(A)}. \tag{20}$$

Let $t_A = 4K(A)$ and fix $0 < \varepsilon < |t_A - 2\pi|$. Then we have

$$P(\lambda\tau \in [t_A - \varepsilon, t_A + \varepsilon]) \geq C(\varepsilon,\lambda,A)e^{-\lambda(\mathcal{H}(A)+\frac{At_A}{8}) - \lambda\frac{|A|\varepsilon}{8} - \lambda\frac{|A|}{2}(t_A+\varepsilon)(1+\frac{\beta}{2}(a+\frac{1}{2}))} \tag{21}$$

where $\lim_{\lambda\to\infty} C(\varepsilon,\lambda,A) = 1$ for fixed a, ε.

The proof of this proposition follows the same steps as the proof of Proposition 8 in [8], and will not be shown.

In addition to bounds on the 2π interval jump times we need bounds on the tail of $\varphi_{a,\lambda}$.

Proposition 9. *Let $\varphi_{a,\lambda}$ be the diffusion defined in (8), $T > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$ fixed, then for $a > -1$*

$$\lim_{\lambda\to\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \log P(\varphi_{a,\lambda}(\infty) - \varphi_{a,\lambda}(T\lambda) \geq \epsilon\lambda) \leq -\frac{\epsilon\beta^2}{2^8\pi} T|a + \tfrac{1}{2}|. \tag{22}$$

In the case where $a = -1/2$ this bound still holds, it simply implies convergence at a faster rate. These two pieces are sufficient to complete the proof of the path deviation for the $\varphi_{a,\lambda}$.

□

Proof of Proposition 9. The idea of the proof will be to consider the process on the intervals of the type $[4k\pi - 2\pi, 4k\pi]$ when $a \geq -1/2$, and on intervals of the type $[4k\pi, 4k\pi + 2\pi]$ for $-1 < a < -1/2$. Note that either interval may be used for $a = -1/2$ our choice here is arbitrary. The diffusion $\varphi_{a,\lambda}$ will need to cross at least $\lfloor \epsilon\lambda/(4\pi) \rfloor$ many of these, therefore

$$P(\varphi_{a,\lambda}(\infty) - \varphi_{a,\lambda}(T\lambda) \geq \epsilon\lambda) \leq P(\tilde{\varphi}_{a,\lambda\mathfrak{h}(T\lambda)}(\infty) \geq 2\pi)^{\lfloor \epsilon\lambda/(4\pi) \rfloor} \tag{23}$$

where $\tilde{\varphi}(0) = 0$ when $a \in (-1, -1/2)$, and $\tilde{\varphi}(0) = 2\pi$ when $a \geq -1/2$. To get an upper bound on this probability we need to look at the $\tilde{X}_2$ diffusion defined in (19) for $a \geq -1/2$, and the $\tilde{X}_1$ diffusion for $a \in (-1, -1/2)$.

We will compare the diffusions to a reflecting Brownian Motion with drift. We begin with the $\tilde{X}_2$ diffusion, let $Z_{a,\lambda}$ be a Brownian motion with drift $\frac{\beta}{4}(-a - \frac{1}{2}) + \frac{\beta}{2}\lambda e^{-\beta T\lambda/16}$ reflected at the origin, and let $\tau_{Z,x}$ be the hitting time of x for Z. Then

$$\begin{aligned} P(\tilde{\varphi}_{a,\lambda\mathfrak{h}(T\lambda)}(\infty) \geq 2\pi) &\leq P(\tau_{Z,\beta T\lambda/16} < \infty) \\ &\leq \exp\left[-\left(\frac{\beta}{4}(-a - \tfrac{1}{2}) + \frac{\beta}{2}\lambda e^{-\beta T\lambda/16} \right) \frac{\beta}{16} T\lambda \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

We get the final bound in the following way: let $W_t^{(\mu)}$ be a reflecting Brownian motion with drift μ and $B_t^{(\mu)}$ a Brownian motion drift μ, then $W_t^{(\mu)} \stackrel{d}{=} \sup_{0\leq s\leq t} B_s^{(\mu)} - B_t^{(\mu)}$. A standard Girsanov argument gives the desired result (see e.g. [11]). A similar bound can be used on the $\tilde{X}_1$ diffusion using a reflecting Brownian Motion with drift $\frac{\beta}{4}(a + \frac{1}{2}) + \frac{\beta}{2}\lambda e^{-\beta T\lambda/16}$. These bounds together with (23) are sufficient to complete the proof. □

The final step in the proof of Theorem 2 is to use the contraction principle to go from the path deviation to a large deviation result on the end point. We use the existing analysis

in section 7 of [8] and a relationship between the $\text{Bess}_{a,\beta}$ and Sine_β rate functions to draw our conclusion. Consider the $\mathcal{J}_{\text{Bess}_{a,\beta}}$ rate function given in Theorem 7, we apply the change of variables $x = (1 - e^{-\frac{8}{\beta}t})$ to get the modified rate function

$$\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{\text{Bess}_{a,\beta}}(\tilde{g}) = 8\beta \int_0^1 (1-x)\mathcal{I}(\tilde{g}'(x)/8)dy$$

where $\tilde{g}(x) = g(-\frac{8}{\beta}\log(1-x))$. The path large deviation rate function for the Sine_β process [8] is related to this one by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{\text{Bess}_{a,\beta}}(g) = 32\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{\text{Sine}}(g/8).$$

Lastly note that we want to optimize over g with endpoint $4\pi\rho$ where in the Sine_β case we had an endpoint of $2\pi\rho$. This gives us that $I_{\text{Bess}_{a,\beta}}(\rho) = 32 I_{\text{Sine}}(\rho/4)$.

5 From the hard edge to the bulk

In order to show the transition we need the following two results on limits of martingales and stochastic integrals:

Proposition 10 (Multidimensional Martingale CLT)**.** *Let $\{M_n\}$ be a sequence of $\mathbb{R}^d$ valued martingales. Suppose*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} E\big[\sup_{s\le t}|M_n(s) - M_n(s-)|\big] = 0$$

and $[M_n^i, M_n^j]_t \to c_{i,j}(t)$ in probability for all $t \ge 0$ where $C = [c_{i,j}]$ is a continuous, symmetric valued function on $[0,\infty)$ with $C(0) = 0$ and

$$\sum_{i,j\le n}(c_{ij}(s) - c_{ij}(t))\xi_i\xi_j > 0, \qquad \text{for } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad t > s \ge 0.$$

Then $M_n \to M$, where M is Gaussian with independent increments and $E[M(t)M(t)^T] = C(t)$.

For a proof see e.g. Theorem 7.1.4 in Ethier and Kurtz [6].

The following proposition gives conditions under which a sequence of diffusions X_n satisfying the stochastic integral equation

$$X_n(t) = X_n(0) + \int_0^t \sigma(X_n, s-)dM_n(s) + \int_0^t b(X_n, t)dV_n(t) \tag{25}$$

converge to a limiting process. Here we take $M_n : \mathbb{R}_+ \to (C[0,\infty))^d$ to be a $d-$dimensional martingale and $V_n : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}$ is a finite variation process. The following is a specialization of Theorem 5.4 from from [14].

Proposition 11 (Kurtz, Protter [14]). *Suppose in (25) M_n is a martingale, and V_n is a finite variation process. Assume that for each $t \geq 0$, $\sup_n E[[M_n]_t] < \infty$ and $\sup_n E[TV(V_n)] < \infty$ (where TV indicates the total variation) and $(M_n, V_n) \Rightarrow (W, V)$, where W is a standard Brownian motion and $V(t) = tI$. Suppose that the diffusion X satisfies*

$$X(t) = X(0) + \int_0^t \sigma(X(s), s) dW(t) + \int_0^t b(X(s), s) ds \tag{26}$$

and that the solution of (26) is unique. Then $X_n \Rightarrow X$.

Lastly we need to appeal to several properties of the diffusion $\alpha_\lambda(t)$.

Proposition 12 ([20]). *The diffusion α_λ satisfying (2) converges a.s. to a multiple of 2π as $t \to \infty$.*

Proof of Theorem 4. We study the difference $M_{a,\beta}(\lambda + x) - M_{a,\beta}(\lambda)$ as $\lambda \to \infty$ by way of the SDE characterization. For convenience we define the diffusion $\psi_{a,\lambda,x} = \varphi_{a,\lambda+x} - \varphi_{a,\lambda}$. The proof breaks into two pieces. The first is to show that for finite set $\{x_1, x_2, ..., x_k\}$ of diffusions $\{\psi_{a,\lambda,x_i}\}_{i=1,...,k}$ converge weakly to a family of diffusions $\{\hat{\psi}_{x_i}\}_{i=1,...,k}$. We then show that for T sufficiently large this convergence is enough, that is that the tail of the diffusion is well behaved. These together will be sufficient for process convergence because they will show that the finite marginals of $M_{a,\beta}(\lambda + x) - M_{a,\beta}(\lambda)$ will converge to those of $N_\beta(x/4)$ whose distribution is that of the counting function of Sine_β.

We have the following for $\psi_{a,\lambda,x}$:

$$\begin{aligned} d(\psi_{a,\lambda,x}) = \frac{\beta}{2}(a + 1/2) \left[\sin\left(\frac{\varphi_{a,\lambda+x}}{2}\right) - \sin\left(\frac{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}{2}\right) \right] dt + \frac{1}{2} \left[\sin \varphi_{a,\lambda+x} - \sin \varphi_{a,\lambda} \right] dt \\ + \beta x e^{-\beta t/8} dt - 2 \left[\sin\left(\frac{\varphi_{a,\lambda+x}}{2}\right) - \sin\left(\frac{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}{2}\right) \right] dB_t \qquad \psi_{a,\lambda,x}(0) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Making use of angle addition formulas we may write

$$\begin{aligned} d\psi_{a,\lambda,x} = \frac{\beta}{2}(a + 1/2) \left[\sin\left(\frac{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}{2}\right) \left(\cos\left(\frac{\psi_{a,\lambda,x}}{2}\right) - 1 \right) - \sin\left(\frac{\psi_{a,\lambda,x}}{2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}{2}\right) \right] dt \\ + \frac{1}{2} \Big[\sin \varphi_{a,\lambda} \left(\cos \psi_{a,\lambda,x} - 1 \right) - \sin \psi_{a,\lambda,x} \cos \varphi_{a,\lambda} \Big] dt + \beta x e^{-\beta t/8} dt \\ + \left[\sin\left(\frac{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}{2}\right) \left(\cos\left(\frac{\psi_{a,\lambda,x}}{2}\right) - 1 \right) - \sin\left(\frac{\psi_{a,\lambda,x}}{2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}{2}\right) \right] dB_t. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

In order to study the limit as $\lambda \to \infty$ we show that the martingales

$$X_\lambda(t) = \sqrt{2} \int_0^t \sin\left(\frac{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}{2}\right) dB_t \qquad \text{and} \qquad Y_\lambda(t) = \sqrt{2} \int_0^t \cos\left(\frac{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}{2}\right) dB_t \tag{28}$$

converge in distribution to two independent Brownian motions $X(t)$ and $Y(t)$ as $\lambda \to \infty$. We then use these limits to show the convergence of the vector $(\psi_{a,\lambda,x_1}(t), ..., \psi_{a,\lambda,x_k}(t))$ to $(\hat{\psi}_{x_1}(t), \ldots, \hat{\psi}_{x_k}(t))$, which is a vector of time and space changed versions of α_x, for $x = x_1, ..., x_k$.

In addition to the results on convergence of martingales we will need an analytical result about the oscillatory integrals that appear in the $\lambda \to \infty$ limit.

Proposition 13. *Let $\varphi_{a,\lambda}$ satisfy the SDE from (8) and $\mathfrak{h}(t) = \beta e^{-\frac{\beta}{8}t}$. Then for $T(\lambda) \leq \frac{8}{\beta}\log\lambda$ there exist a constant M (uniform in λ) such that*

$$E\left|\sup_{0\leq s\leq T(\lambda)}\int_0^s \sin\left(c\varphi_{a,\lambda}\right)dt\right| \leq \frac{M}{\lambda\mathfrak{h}(T(\lambda))}, \qquad \text{and} \tag{29}$$

$$E\left|\sup_{0\leq s\leq T(\lambda)}\int_0^s \sin\left(c\varphi_{a,\lambda}\right)\left(\cos(c\psi_{a,\lambda,x})-1\right)dt\right| \leq \frac{M}{\lambda\mathfrak{h}(T(\lambda))}. \tag{30}$$

The analogous statements for integrals involving $\cos(c\varphi_{a,\lambda})$ also hold. In particular in the case where $T(\lambda) = T$ is fixed this gives $\sup_{0\leq s\leq T(\lambda)}\int_0^s \sin\left(c\varphi_{a,\lambda}\right)dt \to 0$ in L_1 (and hence in probability) as $\lambda \to \infty$ (and similarly for (30)).

We show that (X, Y) is a 2 dimensional Brownian using Proposition 10. Since $X_\lambda(t)$ and $Y_\lambda(t)$ are continuous we need only check the quadratic variations $[X_\lambda]_t, [Y_\lambda]_t \to t$ and $[X_\lambda, Y_\lambda]_t \to 0$ as $\lambda \to \infty$. We use the integral representation of X_λ and Proposition 13 to observe that for any $T \in [0, \infty)$

$$[X_\lambda]_t = 2\int_0^t \sin^2\left(\frac{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}{2}\right)dt = t - \int_0^t \cos(\varphi_{a,\lambda})ds \to t$$

in probability as $\lambda \to \infty$ for $t \in [0, T]$. Similar calculations may be done for $[Y_\lambda]_t$ and $[X_\lambda, Y_\lambda]_t$.

We can again us Proposition 13 to show that the first two drift terms in (27) vanish as $\lambda \to \infty$. We have now identified that for a fixed x the limiting diffusion should satisfy the SDE

$$d\hat{\psi}_x = \beta x e^{-\beta t/8}dt + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\cos\left(\frac{\psi_x}{2}\right) - 1\right]dX + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sin\left(\frac{\psi_x}{2}\right)dY, \qquad \psi_x(0) = 0. \tag{31}$$

Proposition 11 gives us that if this SDE has a strong solution, then $\hat{\psi}_x \stackrel{d}{=} \lim_{\lambda\to\infty}\psi_{a,\lambda,x}$ exists and satisfies (31). To show that (31) has a strong solution we will show this is equivalent to the SDE for α_λ having a strong solution. Apply time change $t/2 \mapsto s$ and space change $\hat{\psi}_x/2 \mapsto \tilde{\psi}_x$ to get

$$d\tilde{\psi}_x = \beta x e^{-\beta s/4} + \frac{1}{2}\Re\left[(e^{-i\tilde{\psi}_x} - 1)d(\tilde{X} + i\tilde{Y})\right], \tag{32}$$

where $\tilde{X}$ and $\tilde{Y}$ here are the time changed X and Y. Notice that the time change is independent of x and so $\tilde{X}$ and $\tilde{Y}$ are the same driving Brownian motions for $x_1, ..., x_k$. Therefore the diffusions $\tilde{\psi}_{x_i}$ are coupled through their Brownian terms. Lastly observe that $\tilde{\psi}_{x_i} \stackrel{d}{=} \alpha_{4x_i}$ and α_λ has a strong solution [20].

For the final step we need to show that convergence of the diffusions implies convergence of the processes. To do this we show convergence of the finite dimensional marginals of the counting function. That is we show that for any finite collection $\{x_1, ..., x_k\} \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\{M_{a,\beta}(\lambda + x_i) - M_{a,\beta}(\lambda)\}_{i=1,...,k} \Rightarrow \{N_\beta(x_i/4)\}_{i=1,...,k}$$

jointly in law as $\lambda \to \infty$.

We begin with the following lemma, which gives us that it is enough to study the diffusion $\psi_{a,\lambda,x}$.

Lemma 14. *For $\psi_{a,\lambda,x}$ and $M_{a,\beta}(\lambda)$ defines as above we have*

$$P\left(\lim_{t\to\infty} \lfloor(\psi_{a,\lambda,x}(t) + 2\pi)/4\pi\rfloor = M_{a,\beta}(\lambda + x) - M_{a,\beta}(\lambda)\right) = 1.$$

For convenience we introduce the notation $\lfloor y\rfloor_{4\pi} = 4\pi\lfloor y/(4\pi)\rfloor$. We now show that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we can choose λ and T sufficiently large so that

$$P\left(\lfloor\psi_{a,\lambda,x_i}(\infty) + 2\pi\rfloor_{4\pi} = \lfloor\psi_{a,\lambda,x_i}(T) + 2\pi\rfloor_{4\pi}, i = 1, \ldots, k\right) > 1 - \varepsilon, \quad \text{and} \tag{33}$$

$$P\left(\hat{\psi}_{x_i}(\infty) = \left\lfloor\hat{\psi}_{x_i}(T) + 2\pi\right\rfloor_{4\pi}, i = 1, \ldots, k\right) > 1 - \varepsilon, \tag{34}$$

where evaluation at ∞ should be understood as a limit. Since we have that

$$\{\psi_{a,\lambda,x_1}(T), \ldots, \psi_{a,\lambda,x_k}(T)\} \Rightarrow \{\hat{\psi}_{x_1}(T), \ldots, \hat{\psi}_{x_k}(T)\} \quad \text{as} \quad \lambda \to \infty$$

this will be sufficient to complete the proof.

For equation (34) Proposition 12 gives us that $\hat{\psi}_x$ converges almost surely to a multiple of 4π. Therefore for a finite collection $\{x_1, \ldots, x_k\}$ and $0 < \delta < 2\pi$ we may choose T sufficiently large so that $P(|\hat{\psi}_{x_i}(T) - \hat{\psi}_{x_i}(\infty)| < \delta, i = 1, \ldots, k) > 1 - \varepsilon$. From this (34) follows.

For equation (33) we begin with the bound

$$P\left(\lfloor\psi_{a,\lambda,x_i}(\infty) + 2\pi\rfloor_{4\pi} = \lfloor\psi_{a,\lambda,x_i}(T) + 2\pi\rfloor_{4\pi}, i = 1, \ldots, k\right) \tag{35}$$

$$\leq 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{k} P\left(\lfloor\psi_{a,\lambda,x_i}(\infty) + 2\pi\rfloor_{4\pi} \neq \lfloor\psi_{a,\lambda,x_i}(T) + 2\pi\rfloor_{4\pi}\right) \tag{36}$$

And observe that

$$P\left(\lfloor\psi_{a,\lambda,x_i}(\infty)+2\pi\rfloor_{4\pi}\neq\lfloor\psi_{a,\lambda,x_i}(T)+2\pi\rfloor_{4\pi}\right) \tag{37}$$
$$\geq 1-P\left(\lfloor\psi_{a,\lambda,x_i}(\infty)+2\pi\rfloor_{4\pi}=\lfloor\psi_{a,\lambda,x_i}(T)+2\pi\rfloor_{4\pi},|\psi_{a,\lambda,x_i}(T)-\lfloor\psi_{a,\lambda,x_i}(T)+2\pi\rfloor_{4\pi}|<\delta\right).$$

We will show that for $|\eta|<\delta<1/16$ there exists some A (uniform in x) so that for $T\geq-\frac{8}{\beta}\log(\delta)$

$$(x+A)\sqrt{\delta} \tag{38}$$
$$\geq P\left(\lfloor\psi_{a,\lambda,x}(\infty)+2\pi\rfloor_{4\pi}\neq\lfloor\psi_{a,\lambda,x}(T)+2\pi\rfloor_{4\pi}\middle|\psi_{a,\lambda,x}(T)-\lfloor\psi_{a,\lambda,x}(T)+2\pi\rfloor_{4\pi}=\eta\right).$$

This gives us a uniform bound on the intersection

$$(x+A)\sqrt{\delta}$$
$$\geq P\left(\lfloor\psi_{a,\lambda,x_i}(\infty)+2\pi\rfloor_{4\pi}\neq\lfloor\psi_{a,\lambda,x_i}(T)+2\pi\rfloor_{4\pi},|\psi_{a,\lambda,x_i}(T)-\lfloor\psi_{a,\lambda,x_i}(T)+2\pi\rfloor_{4\pi}|<\delta\right),$$

which will be enough to get the bound in equation (33). To start, following the reasoning from when we proved (34), we observe that $\psi_{a,\lambda,x}(T)$ converges in distribution to $\hat{\psi}(T)$ as $\lambda\to\infty$, and $\hat{\psi}_{x_i}(T)$ will be close to a multiple of 4π with high probability when T is large enough. Therefore for any $\varepsilon>0$ we may choose T and λ large enough so $P(|\psi_{a,\lambda,x_i}(T)-\lfloor\psi_{a,\lambda,x_i}(T)+2\pi\rfloor_{4\pi}|<\delta)>1-\varepsilon$. Together these bounds give us that

$$P\left(\lfloor\psi_{a,\lambda,x_i}(\infty)+2\pi\rfloor_{4\pi}\neq\lfloor\psi_{a,\lambda,x_i}(T)+2\pi\rfloor_{4\pi}\right)\leq\varepsilon+(x/4+A)\sqrt{\delta},$$

where ε and δ may be chose arbitrarily small.

We now turn back to the proof of equation (38). We first show this for the case where $\eta>0$, in which case $\lfloor\psi_{a,\lambda,x}(T)\rfloor_{4\pi}=\lfloor\psi_{a,\lambda,x}(T)+2\pi\rfloor_{4\pi}$. We study the diffusion $\psi_{a,\lambda,x}$ on two regions. The first is $[T,T_{\lambda,\delta}]$ and the second is $[T_{\lambda,\delta},\infty)$, where $T_{\lambda,\delta}=\frac{8}{\beta}\log(\lambda\delta)$. For $x>0$ the process $\lfloor\psi_{a,\lambda,x}(t)\rfloor_{4\pi}$ is monotone increasing in t, therefore it follows that $\psi_{a,\lambda,x}(\infty)-\lfloor\psi_{a,\lambda,x}(T)\rfloor_{4\pi}$ is a strictly positive random variable so we can apply Markov's inequality. We use the integral form of (27) together with Proposition 13 to get a bound on the expected value. Let $\hat{M}=\frac{\beta}{8}(\beta^2(a+1/2)+1)M$ Then from the integrated form we have

$$E\big(\psi_{a,\lambda,x}(T_{\lambda,\delta})-\lfloor\psi_{a,\lambda,x}(T)\rfloor_{4\pi}\big|\psi_{a,\lambda,x}(T)-\lfloor\psi_{a,\lambda,x}(T)\rfloor_{4\pi}=\eta\big)\leq xe^{-\frac{\beta}{8}T}+(\hat{M}+1)\delta.$$

Therefore

$$P\big(\psi_{a,\lambda,x}(T_{\lambda,\delta})-\psi_{a,\lambda,x}(T)>\sqrt{\delta}\big|\psi_{a,\lambda,x}(T)-\lfloor\psi_{a,\lambda,x}(T)\rfloor_{4\pi}=\eta\big)\leq\frac{x}{\sqrt{\delta}}e^{-\frac{\beta}{8}T}+\frac{(\hat{M}+1)}{\sqrt{\delta}}\delta. \tag{39}$$

The restriction $T \geq -\frac{8}{\beta}\log\delta$ gives us the desired bound on this region.

For the case $\eta < 0$ let $\tilde{\psi}_{a,\lambda,x} \stackrel{d}{=} \psi_{a,\lambda,-x}$ with initial condition $\tilde{\psi}_{a,\lambda,x}(T) = \eta$ then for $t \geq T$ and $\tilde{\psi}_{a,\lambda,x}$ coupled with $\psi_{a,\lambda,x}$ through the noise term we get

$$\tilde{\psi}_{a,\lambda,x}(t) \leq \psi_{a,\lambda,x}(t) - \lfloor \psi_{a,\lambda,x}(T) + 2\pi \rfloor. \tag{40}$$

The same arguments used for the case $\eta > 0$ give us

$$P\big(\lfloor \psi_{a,\lambda,x}(T) + 2\pi \rfloor_{4\pi} - \tilde{\psi}_{a,\lambda,x}(T_{\lambda,\delta}) > \sqrt{\delta}\big) \leq \frac{x}{\sqrt{\delta}} e^{-\frac{\beta}{8}T} + (\hat{M}+1)\sqrt{\delta},$$

which is sufficient to complete the bound for the interval $[T, T_{\lambda,\delta}]$.

We now turn to the stretch $[T_{\lambda,\delta}, \infty)$. First observe that at time $T_{\lambda,\delta}$ we have $(\lambda + x)e^{-\frac{\beta}{8}T_{\lambda,\delta}} = \frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{x}{\lambda\delta}$. We turn back to the original diffusions $\varphi_{a,\lambda+x}$ and $\varphi_{a,\lambda}$. We show that for $\eta < \sqrt{\delta}$

$$P\left(\lim_{t\to\infty} \lfloor \varphi_{a,\lambda+x}(t) \rfloor_{4\pi} - \lfloor \varphi_{a,\lambda}(t) \rfloor_{4\pi} \neq \lfloor \psi_{a,\lambda,x}(T_{\lambda,\delta}) \rfloor_{4\pi} \,\middle|\, \psi_{a,\lambda,x}(T_{\lambda,\delta}) = \eta \mod 4\pi\right) \geq C(\lambda,\delta) \tag{41}$$

Where for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we may choose λ large enough and δ small enough so that $C(\lambda,\delta) > 1-\varepsilon$.

To start we note that this problem is equivalent to studying the diffusions restarted at $T_{\lambda,\delta}$, that is $\tilde{\varphi}_{a,\frac{1}{\delta}+\frac{x}{\lambda\delta}}$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_{a,\frac{1}{\delta}}$ satisfying (8) with initial conditions $\tilde{\varphi}_{a,\frac{1}{\delta}+\frac{x}{\lambda\delta}}(0) = \varphi_{a,\lambda+x}(T_{\lambda,\delta}) \mod 4\pi$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_{a,\frac{1}{\delta}}(0) = \varphi_{a,\lambda}(T_{\lambda,\delta}) \mod 4\pi$.

Before moving forward we make the following observation: For large enough time S (depending on δ, assuming $x/\lambda \leq 1$)

$$P\left(\lfloor \tilde{\varphi}_{a,\frac{1}{\delta}+\frac{x}{\lambda\delta}}(S) \rfloor_{4\pi} \neq \lfloor \tilde{\varphi}_{a,\frac{1}{\delta}+\frac{x}{\lambda\delta}}(\infty) \rfloor_{4\pi}, \lfloor \tilde{\varphi}_{a,\frac{1}{\delta}}(S) \rfloor_{4\pi} \neq \lfloor \tilde{\varphi}_{a,\frac{1}{\delta}}(\infty) \rfloor_{4\pi}\right) \geq 1 - \varepsilon/2. \tag{42}$$

This follows from the fact that $\lfloor \tilde{\varphi}(t) \rfloor_{4\pi}$ is a monotone increasing function with an almost surely finite limit. Then by using continuous dependence on parameters and initial conditions ($\tilde{\varphi}_{a,\frac{1}{\delta}+\frac{x}{\lambda\delta}}(0) - \tilde{\varphi}_{a,\frac{1}{\delta}}(0) = \eta$ with $|\eta| < \sqrt{\delta}$) we also have that for small enough δ and large enough λ

$$P\left(|\tilde{\varphi}_{a,\frac{1}{\delta}+\frac{x}{\lambda\delta}}(S) - \tilde{\varphi}_{a,\frac{1}{\delta}+\frac{x}{\lambda\delta}}(S)| < 2\pi\right) \geq 1 - \varepsilon/2. \tag{43}$$

The last two bounds, together with the final statement in Theorem 5, complete the proof of the bound in equation (41). The bounds from equations (41) and (39) give (38) which completes the proof of the transition.

□

We finish with the proofs of Proposition 13 and Lemma 14.

Proof of Proposition 13. We write $\varphi_{a,\lambda}(t)$ in its integrated form (dropping the subscripts)

$$\varphi(t) = \lambda 8\left[1 - e^{-\frac{\beta}{8}t}\right] + 2\pi + \frac{\beta}{2}(a+1/2)\int_0^t \sin\left(\frac{\varphi}{2}\right)ds + \int_0^t \frac{\sin(\varphi)}{2}ds + 2\int_0^t \sin\left(\tfrac{\varphi}{2}\right)dB_s.$$

We break this into two pieces, the first term we will write $\lambda H(t) = \lambda 8\left[1 - e^{-\frac{\beta}{8}t}\right]$ and the remaining terms will be grouped together as the process $\mathcal{E}_t = \varphi(t) - \lambda H(t)$. Then

$$\int_0^T \sin(c\varphi_{a,\lambda})dt = \int_0^T \sin(c\lambda H(t))\cos(c\mathcal{E}_t) + \cos(c\lambda H(t))\sin(c\mathcal{E}_t)dt.$$

We use the following version of Itó's formula to extract the main term. Let f, g be continuously differentiable functions and let G denote the antiderivative of g. Then for X and Itó process we have

$$\int_0^T f'(t)G(X)dt = f(T)G(X) - f(0)G(0) - \int_0^T f(t)g(X)dX - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^T f(t)g'(X)d[X]_t.$$

Let $\Gamma(t) = \int_0^t \sin(c\lambda H(t))dt$, then

$$\int_0^T \sin(c\lambda H(t))\cos(c\mathcal{E}_t)dt = \cos(c\mathcal{E}_t)\Gamma(T) + \int_0^T \Gamma(t)\sin(c\mathcal{E}_t)d\mathcal{E}_t + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^T \Gamma(t)\cos(c\mathcal{E}_t)d[\mathcal{E}]_t.$$

Now observe that $\Gamma(t)$ may be bounded in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t \sin(\lambda cH(s))ds &= \int_0^t \frac{1}{\lambda cH'(s)}\frac{d}{dx}\sin(\lambda cH(s))ds \\ &= \frac{e^{\frac{\beta}{8}t}}{\lambda c\beta}\sin(\lambda cH(t)) + \frac{1}{8\lambda c}\int_0^t e^{\frac{\beta}{8}s}\sin(\lambda cH(s))ds.\end{aligned}$$

In absolute value the final integral is bounded by $\frac{1}{\lambda c\beta}(e^{\frac{\beta}{8}t} - 1)$ which gives us that

$$|\Gamma(t)| = \left|\int_0^t \sin(\lambda cH(s))ds\right| \leq \frac{2}{\lambda c\beta}e^{\frac{\beta}{8}t}. \tag{44}$$

From this we get that the dt terms in the $d\mathcal{E}_t$ integral may be bounded in absolute value by $[\frac{\beta}{2}(a+1/2)+1/2]\int_0^T \Gamma(t)dt \leq [\frac{\beta}{2}(a+1/2)+1/2]\frac{16}{\lambda c\beta^2}e^{\frac{\beta}{8}T}$. Similar computation shows that the $d[\mathcal{E}]_t$ term is bounded in absolute value by $\frac{64}{\lambda c\beta^2}e^{\frac{\beta}{8}T}$. Lastly, for the martingale term we use Doob's martingale inequality on the associated exponential submartingale. Let

$$N_t = 2\int_0^t \Gamma(s)\sin(c\mathcal{E}_s)\sin\left(\tfrac{\mathcal{E}_s+\lambda H(s)}{2}\right)dB_s, \tag{45}$$

then $\exp(\xi N_t)$ is a positive submartingale and so $P(\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\exp(\xi N_t) \geq x) \leq E(\exp(\xi N_T))/x$. Therefore

$$P\left(\sup_{0\leq t\leq T} N_t \geq C\right) \leq e^{-\xi C}E\left(\exp(\xi N_T)\right) \tag{46}$$

To compute $E\exp(\xi N_t)$ we make use of the martingale $M_t = \exp(\xi N_t - \frac{\xi^2}{2}[N]_t)$. This gives us that

$$1 = EM_t \geq E\left[\exp\left(\xi N_t - \frac{\xi^2}{2}\frac{1}{\beta}(\frac{8}{\lambda c\beta}e^{\frac{\beta}{8}t})^2\right)\right] = E(\exp(\xi N_t))\exp\left[-\frac{\xi^2}{2}\frac{1}{\beta}(\frac{8}{\lambda c\beta}e^{\frac{\beta}{8}t})^2\right], \quad (47)$$

where we use

$$[N]_t \leq \int_0^t 4\Gamma^2(t)dt \leq \frac{1}{\beta}(\frac{8}{\lambda c\beta}e^{\frac{\beta}{8}t})^2. \quad (48)$$

Optimizing our choice of ξ we get

$$P\left(\sup_{0\leq t\leq T} N_t \geq C\right) \leq \exp\left[-\frac{C^2}{2}\beta\left(\frac{\lambda c\beta}{8}e^{-\frac{\beta}{8}T}\right)^2\right]. \quad (49)$$

Integrating in the C variable gives

$$E(\sup_{0\leq t\leq T} N_t) \leq \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2\beta}}\frac{8}{\lambda c\beta}e^{\frac{\beta}{8}T}. \quad (50)$$

We can do similar analysis of the integral $\int_0^T \cos(c\lambda H(t))\sin(c\mathcal{E}_t)dt$ which completes the proof of equation (29). To extend this to the case where we have the additional $\cos(c\psi_{a,\lambda,x}) - 1$ multiplier in the integral we use the same decomposition of $\varphi_{a,\lambda}$ and work with the integral.

$$\int_0^{T(\lambda)} \sin(c\lambda H(t))\cos(c\mathcal{E}_t)\left(\cos(c\psi_{a,\lambda,x}) - 1\right)dt.$$

An application of a slightly modified Itó's lemma leads us to the same type of analysis as before and give the necessary bounds for (30).

□

Proof of Lemma 14. We need the final statement in Theorem 5. This gives us that for a fixed λ and large enough T we will have that for $t \geq T$, $\varphi_{a,\lambda}(t) \in (M_{a,\beta}(\lambda)4\pi + 2\pi, M_{a,\beta}(\lambda)4\pi + 4\pi)$. Let $k = M_{a,\beta}(\lambda)$ and $\ell = M_{a,\beta}(\lambda + x)$, then we can choose T large enough so that for $t \geq T$

$$\varphi_{a,\lambda}(t) \in (k4\pi + 2\pi, (k+1)4\pi), \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_{a,\lambda+x}(t) \in (\ell 4\pi + 2\pi, (\ell+1)4\pi).$$

This gives us that for $t \geq T$

$$4(\ell - k)\pi + 2\pi > \psi_{a,\lambda,x}(t) > 4(\ell - k)\pi - 2\pi.$$

Therefore $\lim_{t\to\infty}\lfloor(\psi_{a,\lambda,x}(t) + 2\pi)/4\pi\rfloor = M_{a,\beta}(\lambda + x) - M_{a,\beta}(\lambda)$, and it is sufficient to study the $\psi_{a,\lambda,x}$ diffusion. □

References

[1] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun. *Handbook of mathematical functions with formulas, graphs, and mathematical tables.* Wiley, 1984.

[2] F. Bornemann and M. LaCroix. The Singular Values of the GOE. *https://arxiv.org/abs/1502.05946*, 2015.

[3] P. Bourgade, L. Erdős, and H.-T. Yau. Edge universality of beta ensembles. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 332(1):261–353, June 2014.

[4] P. Deift, T. Trogdon, and G. Menon. On the condition number of the critically-scaled Laguerre unitary ensemble. *Discrete and Cont. Dyn. Sys.*, 36(8):4287–4347, 2016.

[5] A. Edelman and M. LaCroix. The singular values of the GUE (Less is more). *http://arxiv.org/abs/1410.7065*, 2014.

[6] S. N. Ethier and T. G. Kurtz. *Markov processes.* Wiley Series in Probability and Mathematical Statistics: Probability and Mathematical Statistics. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1986. Characterization and convergence.

[7] D. Holcomb and G. R. M. Flores. Edge scaling of the β-Jacobi ensemble. *J Stat Phy*, 149:1136–1160, Dec 2012.

[8] D. Holcomb and B. Valkó. Large deviations for the Sine_β and Sch_τ processes. *PTRF, v. 163, Issue 1, pp 339-378*, 2015.

[9] D. Holcomb and B. Valkó. Overcrowding asymptotics for the Sine_β process. *Accepted AIHP, http://arxiv.org/abs/1506.07117*, 2015.

[10] S. Jacquot and B. Valkó. Bulk scaling limit of the Laguerre ensemble. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 16:no. 11, 314–346, 2011.

[11] I. Karatzas and S. E. Shreve. *Brownian motion and stochastic calculus*, volume 113 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1991.

[12] M. Krishnapur, B. Rider, and B. Virág. Universality of the stochastic Airy operator. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 69:145–199, 2016.

[13] E. Kritchevski, B. Valkó, and B. Virág. The scaling limit of the critical one-dimensional random Schrödinger operator. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 314(3):775–806, 2012.

[14] T. G. Kurtz and P. Protter. Weak limit theorems for stochastic integrals and stochastic differential equations. *Ann. Probab.*, 19(3):1035–1070, 1991.

[15] J. A. Ramírez and B. Rider. Diffusion at the random matrix hard edge. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 288(3):887–906, 2009.

[16] J. A. Ramírez and B. Rider. Erratum: Diffusion at the random matrix hard edge. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 307:561, 2011.

[17] J. A. Ramírez, B. Rider, and B. Virág. Beta ensembles, stochastic Airy spectrum, and a diffusion. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 24(4):919–944, 2011.

[18] J. A. Ramírez, B. Rider, and O. Zeitouni. Hard edge tail asymptotics. *Electron. Comm. Prob.*, 16(65), 2011.

[19] B. Rider and P. Waters. Universality of the stochastic Bessel operator. *http://arxiv.org/abs/1610.01637*, October 2016.

[20] B. Valkó and B. Virág. Continuum limits of random matrices and the Brownian carousel. *Inventiones Math.*, 177:463–508, 2009.